

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/600,094	Applicant(s) BUCHWALD ET AL.	
	Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Karen Fitzsimmons (Appl. Rep.).

Date of Interview: 15 June 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
15, 22-23, 36-37

Prior art documents discussed:
Page et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to incorporate the limitations of claims 22-23 and 36 into independent claim 15 so as to define over the apparatus taught by Page et al and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)